UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ENEIDA AMPARAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,		
Plaintiff(s),		
v. PLAZA HOME MORTGAGE, INC. and DOES 1 through 10 inclusive, Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
Counsel report that they have met and cor following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:		
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)		
Private Process: ✓ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) JAMS ADR unless otherwise agreed between the parties.		
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: ✓ the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)		
other requested deadline		
Dated: 1/22/2008	Jonathan Shub Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated: 1/22/2008	Mark Bale Attorney for Defendant	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

	Pursi	uant to the Supulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
		Non-binding Arbitration
		Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
		Mediation
	\overline{X}	Private ADR
	Dead	lline for ADR session:
	X	90 days from the date of this order.
		other
·-	~~ ~	
IT IS	SO O	RDERED.
Dated	l:	
		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE